



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,573	10/20/2003	Robert M. Zeidman	ZEID-01	2483
66323 7590 11/12/2008 ZEIDMAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 15565 SWISS CREEK LANE CUPERTINO, CA 95014				
EXAMINER				
WANG, BEN C				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2192				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/12/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/688,573

Applicant(s)

ZEIDMAN, ROBERT M.

Examiner

BEN C. WANG

Art Unit

2192

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BEN C. WANG.

(3) _____.

(2) Jim H. Salter (reg. No. 35,668).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 5, 7, 15, 17, 19, 21-22, 24, and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jie Liu et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

** The Parties discussed rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) regarding the Office Action dated September 11, 2008*

** Applicant's representative(s) also compared the subject matter of 'init-task' to the cited prior art of Jie Liu et al.*

** The examiner will reconsider the case upon receiving further amendment response from the applicant(s).*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ben C Wang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2192